

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 93 04:30:22 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #254
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 25 Jul 93 Volume 93 : Issue 254

Today's Topics:

 Callsign reissue on FCC's steam driven computer
 Give a VE \$5.60, walk

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 24 Jul 93 02:37:47 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!
flinxwei@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Callsign reissue on FCC's steam driven computer
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Jul23.171048.21757@cyphyn.UUCP> randy@cyphyn.UUCP (Randy) write
s:

> The FCC has access to the computer 1 (one) day a week.

WHAT????? Even I have THREE computers at my own home.

-eric

Eric Linxweiler, N8UNN
The Ohio State Univeristy

President, W8LT
The OSU-Amateur Radio Club
W8ZR\R 442.6+

internet: linxweiler.1@osu.edu
packet: n8unn@w8cqk.#cmh.oh.usa.na

TCP/IP: 44.70.0.108
voice: 614/297-8042

Date: 24 Jul 93 04:19:50 GMT
From: anomaly.sbs.com!kd1hz@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

bodoh@dgg.cr.usgs.gov (Tom Bodoh) writes:

>As a "no-clue" you would be surprised to hear that I would support more
>stringent written tests and keeping code - even though I think that eventually
>the requirement for 20WPM may be relaxed. What I resent is your lumping
>together all no-coders. I once saw a black man who was on welfare being
>arrested. Can I then assume that all black men are criminals on welfare?

True, I tend to stereotype all no-clues the same. Its hard not to, when
they all fit a single mold around here.

>Yes, there are those no-clues which treat 2M as extended CB. If they are
>violating part 97 - then let's do something about it.

Why bother? The FCC doesn't care. Why should I?

(other points deleted for brevity since I've already addressed them in
previous postings.)

MD

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #254
